MAYER · BROWN

Mayer Brown LLP 1999 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006-1101

> Main Tel +1 202 263 3000 Main Fax +1 202 263 3300 www.mayerbrown.com

> Howard W. Waltzman

Direct Tel +1 202 263 3848 Direct Fax 202 263 4238 hwaltzman@mayerbrown.com

February 3, 2012

EX PARTE

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Re: <u>In the Matter of Rules and Regulations</u>

<u>Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection</u>

Act of 1991, CG Docket No. 02-278

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On February, 2, 2012, Scott Aronson of the Edison Electric Institute, Peter Freeman of the Financial Services Roundtable, Jason Goldman of the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, Bob Perrin and Wes Huffman of the Coalition of Higher Education Assistance Organizations, Adam Peterman of ACA International, David Pommerehn of the Consumer Bankers Association, Gina Ronzello of the Cargo Airlines Association, Vince Sampson of the Education Finance Council, and the undersigned met with Sherrese Smith, Chief Counsel and Legal Advisor to the Chairman, and Jessica Almond, Special Counsel to the Chairman, and, in a separate meeting, with Christine Kurth, Legal Advisor to Commissioner McDowell, to discuss the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) in the above-referenced docket that was released on January 22, 2010.

We explained that paragraph 20 of the NPRM could be read to suggest that the Commission was considering requiring prior express written consent for all automated calls to residential phone lines and wireless numbers, including non-telemarketing calls. We asserted that none of the statutes or legal authorities the NPRM cited as authorizing the Commission to adopt the proposed rules were targeted at non-telemarketing calls, and we noted that adopting such a broad rule would be inconsistent with the FTC's 2008 amended rules, which govern only telemarketing calls.

We also expressed concern that the imposition of a prior express written consent requirement on informational calls would unnecessarily interfere with non-telemarketing communications between businesses and their consumers, and could deprive consumers of

Mayer Brown LLP

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch February 3, 2012 Page 2

timely access to important information about an existing product, service, or account. We provided examples of valuable informational calls that would be adversely affected if prior written consent is required.

This letter is being filed electronically pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Commission's Rules. If you have any questions, please contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

/s/ Howard W. Waltzman

Howard W. Waltzman

cc: (via e-mail)

Sherrese Smith Jessica Almond Christine Kurth